



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/699,038	10/27/2000	Robert Jay Shaw	5053-31001	6764

7590 10/05/2004

Eric Meyertons  
Conley Rose & Tayon P C  
P O Box 398  
Austin, TX 78767-0398

EXAMINER
----------

COLBERT, ELLA

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3624

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/699,038

Applicant(s)

SHAW, ROBERT JAY

Examiner

Ella Colbert

Art Unit

3624

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

## Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 October 2000.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-39 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-39 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

## Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5 and 6.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

**DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-39 are pending.
2. The IDS filed 07/15/02 has been entered as paper no. 5 and the IDS filed 10/23/03 has been entered as paper no. 6.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1-3, 14-17, 27-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by (US 5,864,679) Kanai et al, hereafter Kanai.

Claims 1, 14, and 27. Kanai teaches, A method performed in an FSO computer system, wherein the FSO computer system comprises a plurality of FSO related data sets including a first FSO related data set, and a plurality of computer executable FSO related processing tasks including a first FSO related processing task, the method comprising: storing a first smart trigger in a first memory of the FSO computer system (col. 2, lines 26-43, col. 13, lines 1-10, col. 21, lines 1-8 and lines 23-32, abstract, fig. 1 (9' -1), fig. 3, fig. 9, and fig. 10), wherein the first smart trigger comprises a first identifier that identifies the first FSO related processing task and a first data set identifier that identifies the first FSO related data set; reading the first smart trigger from the first memory (col. 2, lines 45-51); and executing the first FSO related

Art Unit: 3624

processing task and processing first data contained in the first FSO related data set in response to reading the first smart trigger from the first memory (col. 14, lines 17-30).

Claim 17. Kanai further teaches, a computer program (col. 12, lines 21-42 and fig. 4); an FSO computer system comprising a plurality of FSO related data sets including a first FSO related data set, and comprising a plurality of computer executable FSO related processing tasks including a first FSO related processing task (col. 12, lines 42-59); and wherein the computer program is executable on the computer system (col. 12, lines 10-20).

Claims 2, 15, and 28. The method of claim 1, wherein storing the first smart trigger in the first memory is performed by an application program executing in the FSO computer system (col. 1, lines 39-50).

Claims 3, 16, and 29. The method of claim 1, wherein storing the first smart trigger in the first memory is performed by a user of the FSO computer system (col. 13, lines 20-31).

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 4-9, 17-22, 30-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kanai in view of (US 6,341,287) Sziklai et al, hereafter Sziklai.

Art Unit: 3624

Claims 4, 17, and 30. Kanai teaches, The method of claim 1, further comprising processing the first smart trigger to generate a first processed smart trigger (col. 13, lines 39-60).

Claims 5, 18, and 31. Kanai teach, The method of claim 4, wherein the first smart trigger stored in the first memory further comprises a first scheduled date, wherein the first smart trigger is processed on or before the first scheduled date (col. 13, lines 19-32, col. 14, lines 12-30, and fig. 1(9'-1)).

Claims 6, 19, and 32. Kanai teaches, The method of claim 4, wherein processing the first smart trigger comprises deleting the first identifier from the first smart trigger (col. 32, line 47 –col. 33, line 4, col. 34, lines 9-18, and col. 41, lines 52-65).

Claims 7, 20, and 33. Kanai teaches, The method of claim 6, wherein first smart trigger stored in the first memory further comprises a first scheduled date, wherein the first scheduled date defines a date for processing the first smart trigger (col. 35, lines 2-20).

Claims 8, 21, and 34. Kanai teaches, The method of claim 5, wherein the FSO computer system comprises a current date, and wherein the method further comprises: comparing the scheduled date of the smart trigger to the current date 9col. 37, lines 50-63); executing the first processing task and processing the first data contained in the first FSO related data set in response to the scheduled date being on or before the current date, and (col. 50, line 61-col. 51, line 38); not executing the first processing

Art Unit: 3624

task in response to the scheduled date being after the current date (col. 54, lines 29=44).

Claims 9, 22, and 35. Kanai teaches, The method of claim 6, wherein the first memory comprises a smart trigger table wherein the smart trigger table comprises N rows each one of which comprises one smart trigger, the method further comprising: a) setting a counter X to one (col. 21, line 31-col. 23, line 65); and b) incrementing X by one (col. 21, line 31-col. 23, line 65). Kanai failed to teach, c) reading an Xth smart trigger from the smart trigger table; d) comparing an Xth scheduled date of the Xth smart trigger to the current date; e) executing an Xth processing task and processing Xth data contained in an Xth data set in response to the Xth scheduled date of the Xth smart trigger being on or before the current date; f) not executing the Xth processing task in response to the Xth scheduled date of the Xth smart trigger being after the current date; and g) repeating b) through f) until X equals N. Szuklai teaches, c) reading an Xth smart trigger from the smart trigger table (col. 13, lines 48-56); d) comparing an Xth scheduled date of the Xth smart trigger to the current date (col. 18, lines 24-29 and col. 19, lines 1-7); e) executing an Xth processing task and processing Xth data contained in an Xth data set in response to the Xth scheduled date of the Xth smart trigger being on or before the current date (col. 19, lines 24-36 and lines 44-56); f) not executing the Xth processing task in response to the Xth scheduled date of the Xth smart trigger being after the current date (col. 20, lines 17-20 and lines 26-36); and g) repeating b) through f) until X equals N (col. 13, lines 48-56, col. 18, lines 24-29, and

Art Unit: 3624

col. 19, lines 1-56). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to read an Xth smart trigger from the smart trigger table; compare an Xth scheduled date of the Xth smart trigger to the current date; execute an Xth processing task and processing Xth data contained in an Xth data set in response to the Xth scheduled date of the Xth smart trigger being on or before the current date; not execute the Xth processing task in response to the Xth scheduled date of the Xth smart trigger being after the current date; and repeat b) through f) until X equals N and to modify in Kanai because such a modification would allow Kanai to have a trigger table that provides trigger steps specified for the system (see Szuklai- col. 13, lines 52-55).

7. Claims 10-13, 23-26, and 36-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kanai et al, hereafter Kanai in view of Sziklai and further in view of (US Zaiken et al, hereafter Zaiken).

Claims 10, 23, and 36. Kanai and Szuklai failed to teach, The method of claim 1, wherein the first smart trigger comprises one or more data fields, wherein data in the one or more data fields is passed to the first FSO related processing task in response to reading the smart trigger. Zaiken teaches, wherein the first smart trigger comprises one or more data fields, wherein data in the one or more data fields is passed to the first FSO related processing task in response to reading the smart trigger (col. 7, lines 10-25). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the

Art Unit: 3624

invention was made to have the first smart trigger comprise one or more data fields, wherein data in the one or more data fields is passed to the first FSO related processing task in response to reading the smart trigger and to modify in Kanai because such a modification would allow Kanai to have fields visually separated for convenience of seeing the field descriptions.

Claims 11, 24, and 37. Kanai teaches, The method of claim 1, wherein the first FSO related data set comprises to customer account record containing data relating to a customer of the FSO, wherein the first data identifier assigned to the first FSO related data set comprises a customer account number corresponding to the customer account record (col. 15, lines 8-62, Figure 8, fig. 9, and fig. 10).

Claims 12, 25, and 38. Kanai teaches, The method of claim 7, wherein the FSO computer system further comprises a smart trigger processing task for processing the first smart trigger, wherein the smart trigger processing task is configurable to be executed periodically, wherein the scheduling of the period of execution is configurable by a user of the FSO computer system (col. 13, lines 20-31).

Claims 13, 26, and 39. Kanai teaches, The method of claim 6, wherein the method further comprises deleting the first processing task identifier in response to executing the first processing task (col. 15, lines 46-62 and col. 19, lines 38-55).

### ***Conclusion***

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.



Art Unit: 3624

Nguyen et al (US 6,202,070) disclosed a database with relational tables and keys.

Hinkle (US 6,442,533) disclosed a financial transaction processing system.

Knutson et al (US 5,870,746) disclosed a database and generating a report, data relationships, and a trigger.

### **Inquiries**

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ella Colbert whose telephone number is 703-308-7064. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on 703-308-1038. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



E. Colbert

September 30, 2004